

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/751,327	FARABOSCHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Richard Ellis	<b>Art Unit</b> 2183

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Richard Ellis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Holly Rudnick (reg. no. 43,065).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 July 2004

**Time:** n/a

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted about a typographical error in new claim 21. In the last line of claim 21, the word "discuss" was used in the claim, when it appears that applicant intended to present the word --dismiss--. Applicant indicated that the Examiner was correct, the claim should have used the word --dismiss--. Applicant agreed to the attached examiner's amendment correcting the claim.

Best Available Copy